



NORTH-HOLLAND

## Some Inequalities for Singular Values of Matrix Products\*

Bo-Ying Wang and Bo-Yan Xi

*Department of Mathematics*

*Beijing Normal University*

*Beijing 100875, China*

Submitted by George P. H. Styan

### ABSTRACT

Let  $\sigma_1(C) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n(C)$  denote the singular values of a matrix  $C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ , and let  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ ,  $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$ . The main results are  $\sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(AB) \geq \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(B)$  and  $\sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t^r(AB) \geq \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(B)$ , where  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ . We also consider the cases for the product of three matrices and more. © 1997 Elsevier Science Inc.

Let  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$  denote the vector space of all  $n$  by  $m$  complex matrices. Denote by  $\lambda_1(H) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(H)$  the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix  $H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , and by  $\sigma_1(C) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n(C)$  the singular values of  $C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ , that is,  $\sigma_i(C) = \lambda_i^{1/2}(CC^*)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . When  $C \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times m}$  and  $q = \max\{p, m\} < n$ , we set  $\sigma_{q+1}(C) = \dots = \sigma_n(C) = 0$ . Finally let  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  be integers such that  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ .

We start with the following known results.

**LEMMA 1** [3, 4]. *Let  $W_1, \dots, W_k, V_1, \dots, V_k$  be subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\dim W_t \geq i_t$ ,  $\dim V_t \geq n - i_t + 1$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ , and  $V_1 \supset \dots \supset V_k$ . Then there exists a subspace  $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with an orthonormal basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  and another orthonormal basis  $\{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$  such that  $x_t \in W_t$  and  $z_t \in V_t$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, k$ .*

\* Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

LEMMA 2 (Lidskii [2, p. 248]). *Let  $G, H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be positive semidefinite Hermitian, and let  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ . Then*

$$\prod_{t=1}^k \lambda_{i_t}(GH) \leq \prod_{t=1}^k \lambda_{i_t}(G) \lambda_t(H)$$

and

$$\prod_{t=1}^k \lambda_{i_t}(GH) \geq \prod_{t=1}^k \lambda_{i_t}(G) \lambda_{n-t+1}(H)$$

with equality for  $k = n$ .

Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ . Lemma 2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}(AB) &= \prod_{t=1}^k \lambda_{i_t}^{1/2}(ABB^*A^*) = \left( \prod_{t=1}^k \lambda_{i_t}(A^*ABB^*) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq \left( \prod_{t=1}^k \lambda_{i_t}(A^*A) \lambda_{n-t+1}(BB^*) \right)^{1/2} = \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}(A) \sigma_{n-t+1}(B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (Gel'fand and Naimark [2, p. 248]),

$$\prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}(AB) \geq \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}(A) \sigma_{n-t+1}(B). \quad (1)$$

LEMMA 3 [5]. *Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ , and  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ . Then*

$$\prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_t(AB) \geq \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}(A) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}(B) \quad (2)$$

and

$$\sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t(AB) \geq \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}(A) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}(B). \quad (3)$$

COROLLARY 1. Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ , and  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ ,  $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(AB) &\geq \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A) \sigma_{n-t+1}^r(B), \\ \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_t^r(AB) &\geq \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(B) \\ \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t^r(AB) &\geq \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(B). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* The first two inequalities are obvious by (1) and (2).

The proof of the third inequality, by majorization, is the same as the proof of (3); see [5, Theorem 3]. ■

Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be orthonormal eigenvectors of  $AA^*$  corresponding to  $\lambda_1(AA^*), \dots, \lambda_n(AA^*)$ , where  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ , that is,

$$AA^* u_i = \lambda_i(AA^*) u_i = \sigma_i^2(A) u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let  $R_t \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  denote the subspace spanned by  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{i_t}\}$ , and  $S_t$  the subspace spanned by  $\{u_{i_t}, u_{i_t+1}, \dots, u_n\}$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, k$ . For  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $X_k = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ ,  $I_k = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ , and let  $Y_k = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ ,  $Z_k = (z_1, \dots, z_k) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$  with  $y_t \in R_t$ ,  $z_t \in S_t$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, k$ .

- (i) If  $Y_k^* Y_k = I_k$ , then  $\sigma_t(Y_k^* A) \geq \sigma_{i_t}(A)$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, k$ .
- (ii) If  $Z_k^* Z_k = I_k$ , then  $\sigma_t(Z_k^* A) \leq \sigma_{i_t}(A)$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, k$ .

*Proof.* For a fixed  $t$  ( $1 \leq t \leq k$ ), choose  $v_{t+1}, \dots, v_{i_t} \in R_t$  such that  $\{y_1, \dots, y_t, v_{t+1}, \dots, v_{i_t}\}$  is an orthonormal basis spanning  $R_t$ . Then it is easy to check that the matrices  $(y_1, \dots, y_t, v_{t+1}, \dots, v_{i_t})^* A$  and  $(u_1, \dots, u_{i_t})^* A$  have the same singular values. Applying the intersection property of singular values of a matrix  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times m}$  and its submatrix  $C \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times m}$ , namely  $\sigma_i(B) \geq$

$\sigma_i(C)$  and  $\sigma_{q-i+1}(B) \leq \sigma_{p-i+1}(C)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$  (that is, the intersection property of eigenvalues of Hermitian matrix  $BB^* \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times q}$  and its principal submatrix  $CC^* \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$ ; see [2, p. 227]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(Y_k^* A) &\geq \sigma_i((y_1, \dots, y_t)^* A) \geq \sigma_i((y_1, \dots, y_t, v_{t+1}, \dots, v_{i_t})^* A) \\ &= \sigma_{i_t}((u_1, \dots, u_{i_t})^* A) = \sigma_{i_t}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\sigma_i(Z_k^* A) \leq \sigma_1((z_t, \dots, z_k)^* A) \leq \sigma_1((u_{i_t}, \dots, u_n)^* A) = \sigma_{i_t}(A). \quad \blacksquare$$

Now we may show the following result.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$  and  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ . Let  $\varphi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$  be any function of  $k$  variables which is increasing in each variable in the interval  $[0, \infty)$ . Then*

$$\varphi(\sigma_{i_1}(A), \dots, \sigma_{i_k}(A)) = \max_{\substack{\dim W_t = i_t \\ t=1, \dots, k}} \min_{\substack{x_t \in W_t \\ X_k^* X_k = I_k}} \varphi(\sigma_1(X_k^* A), \dots, \sigma_k(X_k^* A)). \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* Let  $W_t$  be any subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\dim W_t = i_t$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, k$ . Note that  $\dim S_t = n - i_t + 1$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, k$  and  $S_1 \supset \dots \supset S_k$ . From Lemma 1, there exists a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which has two orthonormal bases  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  and  $\{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$  such that  $x_t \in W_t$  and  $z_t \in S_t$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, k$ .

Therefore, by Lemma 4(ii) and the fact that  $\varphi$  is an increasing function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma_1(X_k^* A), \dots, \sigma_k(X_k^* A)) &= \varphi(\sigma_1(Z_k^* A), \dots, \sigma_k(Z_k^* A)) \\ &\leq \varphi(\sigma_{i_1}(A), \dots, \sigma_{i_k}(A)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the left side of (5) is no smaller than its right side.

On the other hand, take  $W_t = R_t$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, k$ , and suppose  $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$  is any orthonormal set with  $y_t \in R_t$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, k$ . Then by Lemma 4(i)

$$\varphi(\sigma_1(Y_k^* A), \dots, \sigma_k(Y_k^* A)) \geq \varphi(\sigma_{i_1}(A), \dots, \sigma_{i_k}(A)).$$

Thus the left side of (5) is no greater than its right side. The proof is completed. ■

This theorem is a generalization of a result due to Amir-Moéz [1, Theorem 2.3], in which there is a restriction  $W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_k$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ , and  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ ,  $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(AB) \geq \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A) \sigma_{n-t+1}^r(B). \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* Set  $\varphi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = \sum_{t=1}^k \alpha_t^r$ , and take  $W_t = R_t$  in Theorem 1. We obtain by using Corollary 1 and Lemma 4(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(AB) &\geq \min_{\substack{y_t \in R_t \\ Y_k^* Y_k = I_k}} \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t^r(Y_k^* AB) \\ &\geq \min_{\substack{y_t \in R_t \\ Y_k^* Y_k = I_k}} \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t^r(Y_k^* A) \sigma_{n-t+1}^r(B) \\ &\geq \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A) \sigma_{n-t+1}^r(B). \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

The following is an extension of [5, Theorems 4 and 3], in which  $r = 1$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $G, H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be positive semidefinite Hermitian, and let  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ ,  $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_{i_t}^r(GH) &\geq \sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_{i_t}^r(G) \lambda_{n-t+1}^r(H), \\ \sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_t^r(GH) &\geq \sum_{t=1}^k \lambda_{i_t}^r(G) \lambda_{n-i_t+1}^r(H). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* The result follows on replacing  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $r$  respectively by  $G^{1/2}$ ,  $H^{1/2}$ , and  $2r$  in (6) and (4). ■

From (7), one can see that Theorem 2 holds for  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$  by using the same method as the proof of (1).

Finally, we discuss the cases of the product of  $m$  matrices.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $m \geq 3$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ ,  $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

- (i)  $\prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1 \cdots A_m) \leq \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1) \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_2) \cdots \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_m)$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1 \cdots A_m) \leq \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1) \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_2) \cdots \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_m)$ ;
- (iii)  $\prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1 \cdots A_m) \geq \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_2) \cdots \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_m)$ ;
- (iv)  $\prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_t^r(A_1 \cdots A_m) \geq \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_2) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_3) \cdots \times \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_m)$ .

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) are trivial from the known results; see [2, pp. 247, 250].

(iii) and (iv): From Corollary 1, by induction on  $m$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1 \cdots A_m) &\geq \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1 \cdots A_{m-1}) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_m) \\
 &= \left( \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1 \cdots A_{m-1}) \right) \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_m) \\
 &\geq \left( \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_2) \cdots \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_{m-1}) \right) \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_m) \\
 &= \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_2) \cdots \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_m), \\
 \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_t^r(A_1 \cdots A_m) &\geq \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_t^r(A_1 A_2) \sigma_{n-t+1}^r(A_3) \cdots \sigma_{n-t+1}^r(A_m) \\
 &\geq \prod_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_2) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_3) \cdots \\
 &\quad \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_m). \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. For  $m \geq 3$ , because of (6) and (4), it is natural to conjecture that (iii) and (iv) will hold if we replace  $\Pi_{t=1}^k$  by  $\Sigma_{t=1}^k$ . But that is not true. The following is a counterexample: Let

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and take  $k = n$ ,  $r = 1$ ; then

$$\sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t(A_1 A_2 A_3) (= 9) \not\geq \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t(A_1) \sigma_{n-t+1}(A_2) \sigma_{n-t+1}(A_3) (= 13).$$

However, from (6) and (4), we can also obtain some weak inequalities:

COROLLARY 3. Let  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $m \geq 3$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ ,  $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

- (i)  $\sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1 \cdots A_m) \geq \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1) \sigma_{n-t+1}^r(A_2) \sigma_n^r(A_3) \cdots \sigma_n^r(A_m)$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t^r(A_1 \cdots A_m) \geq \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_{i_t}^r(A_1) \sigma_{n-i_t+1}^r(A_2) \sigma_n^r(A_3) \cdots \sigma_n^r(A_m)$ .

*Proof.* Use (6) and (4), and note that  $\sigma_i^r(AB) \geq \sigma_i^r(A) \sigma_n^r(B)$  for any  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . ■

## REFERENCES

- 1 A. R. Amir-Moéz, Extreme properties of eigenvalues of a Hermitian transformation and singular values of the sum and product of linear transformations, *Duke Math. J.* 23:463–476 (1956).
- 2 A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, Academic, New York, 1979.
- 3 B. Y. Wang, On the extreme properties of eigenvalues and the subspace inclusion problem, *Adv. in Math. (China)* 15:431–433 (1986).
- 4 B. Y. Wang, A conjecture on orthonormal bases, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 28:193 (1990).
- 5 B. Y. Wang and F. Zhang, Some inequalities for the eigenvalues of the product of positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 160:113–118 (1992).

Received 29 January 1996; final manuscript accepted 14 February 1997